# **Probabilistic Models for Audio Signals**

an intro via time-frequency analysis

William Wilkinson

December 11, 2018

Queen Mary University of London

What does it mean to be "Bayesian"?

#### What does it mean to be "Bayesian"?

• Place probability distributions over all model components about which we are uncertain.

#### What does it mean to be "Bayesian"?

- Place probability distributions over all model components about which we are uncertain.
  - In practice we're uncertain about most things, including the data.



audio signal



audio signal



We want to uncover the time-varying spectral content of a signal.

Typically in signal processing we use the STFT or a filter bank:



filter outputs



audio signal





spectrogram

What are we uncertain about in TF analysis?

What are we uncertain about in TF analysis?

There are actually (infinitely) many ways that a given signal can be decomposed into a sum of periodic components.

What are we uncertain about in TF analysis?

There are actually (infinitely) many ways that a given signal can be decomposed into a sum of periodic components.

• which is the "right" one?

What are we uncertain about in TF analysis?

There are actually (infinitely) many ways that a given signal can be decomposed into a sum of periodic components.

- which is the "right" one?
- which is the "right" one for your specific task?

How should we choose the filter bank parameters?

- centre-frequency,
- bandwidth,
- scale

How should we choose the filter bank parameters?

- centre-frequency,
- bandwidth,
- scale



logarithmically spaced

How should we choose the filter bank parameters?

- centre-frequency,
- bandwidth,
- scale



logarithmically spaced

How should we choose the filter bank parameters?

- centre-frequency,
- bandwidth,
- scale



logarithmically spaced



fit to the signal

How should we choose the filter bank parameters?

- centre-frequency,
- bandwidth,



logarithmically spaced

fit to the signal

#### Benefits include:

uncertainty quantification, can adapt to specific tasks, generative (amplitude and phase correlations)



Place a Gaussian distribution over each frequency component.



Place a Gaussian distribution over each frequency component.

Integrate over all possible decompositions to find the statistically most likely one given the data.



Place a Gaussian distribution over each frequency component. What does it mean to specify a distribution over temporal data?

Integrate over all possible decompositions to find the statistically most likely one given the data.



Place a Gaussian distribution over each frequency component. What does it mean to specify a distribution over temporal data?

Integrate over all possible decompositions to find the statistically most likely one given the data. Bayesian analysis provides a principled way to do this without testing every scenario.

**1D Gaussian:**  $x_1 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 



**1D Gaussian:**  $x_1 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 



**1D Gaussian:**  $x_1 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 



**1D Gaussian:**  $x_1 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 











$$oldsymbol{x} = egin{pmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 \ 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 \ 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.8 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$oldsymbol{x} = egin{pmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 \ 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.8 & 0.2 \ 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$oldsymbol{x} = egin{pmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$oldsymbol{x} = egin{pmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$oldsymbol{x} = egin{pmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$


5D Gaussian:  $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ 

$$oldsymbol{x} = egin{pmatrix} x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 \ 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 \ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$



Any finite set of locations we care to consider will be distributed as:  $\pmb{x} \sim \textit{N}(\pmb{\mu}, \pmb{\Sigma})$ 

Any finite set of locations we care to consider will be distributed as:  $\pmb{x}\sim N(\pmb{\mu},\pmb{\Sigma})$ 

This is called a Gaussian process.

Any finite set of locations we care to consider will be distributed as:  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ 

This is called a Gaussian process.

But how do we choose  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$ , since we don't know a priori which locations we will be considering?

Any finite set of locations we care to consider will be distributed as:  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ 

This is called a Gaussian process.

We must define a mean function  $\mu(t)$  and covariance function  $\Sigma(t,t')$ .

Any finite set of locations we care to consider will be distributed as:  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ 

This is called a Gaussian process.

We must define a mean function  $\mu(t)$  and covariance function  $\Sigma(t,t')$ .

Notation:  $\mathbf{x}(t) \sim GP(\mu(t), \Sigma(t, t'))$ 

**The mean and covariance functions encode our prior knowledge.** One common choice is:

 $\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$   $\Sigma(t, t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t - t'|/\ell)$  $\sigma^2 = 1, \ell = 10$ 

**The mean and covariance functions encode our prior knowledge.** One common choice is:

 $egin{aligned} \mu(t) &= \mathbf{0} \ \Sigma(t,t') &= \sigma^2 \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell) \ \sigma^2 &= 1, \ell = 10 \end{aligned}$ 



**The mean and covariance functions encode our prior knowledge.** One common choice is:

 $\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$   $\Sigma(t, t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t - t'|/\ell)$  $\sigma^2 = 1, \ell = 10$ 



**The mean and covariance functions encode our prior knowledge.** One common choice is:

 $\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$   $\Sigma(t, t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t - t'|/\ell)$  $\sigma^2 = 1, \ell = 10$ 



## Demo

Another common choice:

 $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) = \boldsymbol{0}$ 

 $\Sigma(t,t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t-t'|^2/2\ell^2)$ 

Another common choice:

 $\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$ 

 $\Sigma(t,t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t-t'|^2/2\ell^2)$ 

 $\sigma^2=1, \ell=10$ 



Another common choice:

 $\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$ 

$$\Sigma(t,t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t-t'|^2/2\ell^2)$$

 $\sigma^2=1, \ell=10$ 



Another common choice:

 $\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$ 

$$\Sigma(t,t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t-t'|^2/2\ell^2)$$

 $\sigma^2=1, \ell=10$ 



Another common choice:

 $\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(t,t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t-t'|^2/2\ell^2)$ 



 $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) = \mathbf{0}$ 

$$\Sigma(t,t') = \sigma^2 \cos(\omega(t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|^2/2\ell)$$

## The quasi-periodic covariance function

$$\mu(t) = \mathbf{0}$$
  

$$\Sigma(t, t') = \sigma^2 \cos(\omega(t - t')) \exp(-|t - t'|^2/2\ell)$$
  

$$\sigma^2 = 1, \ell = 10$$



GPs have a strong connection to **stochastic differential equations (SDEs)**.

GPs have a strong connection to **stochastic differential equations** (SDEs).

Assume 
$$\Sigma(t, t') = \sigma^2 \exp(-|t - t'|/\ell)$$
.

It can be shown that the SDE with this covariance is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{-1}{\ell}x + \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

where  $\beta$  is a Brownian motion with spectral density  $2\sigma^2/\ell$ .

More generally, we can write (almost) any

 $x(t) \sim \textit{GP}(\mu(t), \Sigma(t, t'))$ 

as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{z}(t) + \mathbf{L} \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathrm{d}t}, \mathbf{x}(t_k) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{z}(t_k)$$

## **Discrete-time SDEs**

The discrete-time representation of these SDEs is of the general form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}_k + \mathbf{q}_k, \quad \mathbf{q}_k \sim \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}), \\ x_k &= \mathbf{H}\mathbf{z}_k \end{aligned}$$

## **Discrete-time SDEs**

The discrete-time representation of these SDEs is of the general form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}_k + \mathbf{q}_k, \quad \mathbf{q}_k \sim \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}), \\ x_k &= \mathbf{H}\mathbf{z}_k \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a new interpretation of sampling from a Gaussian process. For exponential covariance:

 $\mathbf{A} = \exp(-\Delta_t/\ell), \ \mathbf{Q} = 2\sigma^2/\ell, \ \mathbf{H} = 1$ 

### **Discrete-time SDEs**

The discrete-time representation of these SDEs is of the general form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z}_k + \mathbf{q}_k, \quad \mathbf{q}_k \sim \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}), \\ x_k &= \mathbf{H}\mathbf{z}_k \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a new interpretation of sampling from a Gaussian process. For exponential covariance:

 $\mathbf{A} = \exp(-\Delta_t/\ell), \ \mathbf{Q} = 2\sigma^2/\ell, \ \mathbf{H} = 1$ 



## Demo

Now we can specify our **prior** knowledge and sample hypothetical signals. But we're missing a crucial component Now we can specify our **prior** knowledge and sample hypothetical signals. But we're missing a crucial component - **the data**. In Bayesian analysis, a complete  $\boldsymbol{model}$  is specified by:

The prior

The likelihood

In Bayesian analysis, a complete **model** is specified by:

The  $\ensuremath{\text{prior}}$  - our assumptions / the data generating process

p(x)

The likelihood

In Bayesian analysis, a complete **model** is specified by: The **prior** - our assumptions / the data generating process

p(x)

The likelihood - how we observe the data y given our prior

p(y|x)

In our previous examples we could choose the following:

Prior 
$$p(x) = GP(\mu(t), \Sigma(t, t'))$$
  
Likelihood  $p(y|x) = N(x, \sigma_y^2 \mathbf{I})$ 

and

Prior 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{z}(t) + \mathbf{L}\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathrm{d}t},$$
$$x(t_k) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{z}(t_k)$$
Likelihood  $y_k = x(t_k) + \sigma_y \varepsilon_k$ 

where  $\varepsilon_k \sim N(0,1)$  is Gaussian noise.

prior p(x)



# **prior** $p(x_4|x_{1:3})$



 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{prior} & p(x_4|x_{1:3}) \\ \textbf{likelihood} & p(y_4|x_4) \end{array}$ 



prior $p(x_4|x_{1:3})$ likelihood $p(y_4|x_4)$ posterior $p(x_4|y_4)$ 



prior $p(x_4|x_{1:3})$ likelihood $p(y_4|x_4)$ posterior $p(x_4|y_4)$ 


prior $p(x_4|x_{1:3})$ likelihood $p(y_4|x_4)$ posterior $p(x_4|y_4)$ 



$$p(x|y) = \frac{1}{Z}p(x,y)$$

$$p(x|y) = \frac{1}{Z}p(y|x)p(x)$$

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{\int p(y|x)p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x}$$

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$$

How do we combine the prior and the likelihood to get the posterior?

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$$

This is called **Bayes rule**.

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$$

What is going on in the denominator?  $p(y) = \int p(y|x)p(x) dx$ 

$$p(x|y, heta) = rac{p(y|x, heta)p(x| heta)}{p(y| heta)}$$

What is going on in the denominator?  $p(y|\theta) = \int p(y|x,\theta)p(x|\theta) dx$ 

$$p(x|y, heta) = rac{p(y|x, heta)p(x| heta)}{p(y| heta)}$$

What is going on in the denominator?

 $p(y|\theta) = \int p(y|x,\theta)p(x|\theta) dx$ 

We integrate over all possible values of the latent variable x. This gives us the **marginal likelihood**.

$$p(x|y, heta) = rac{p(y|x, heta)p(x| heta)}{p(y| heta)}$$

What is going on in the denominator?

 $p(y|\theta) = \int p(y|x,\theta)p(x|\theta) dx$ 

We integrate over all possible values of the latent variable x. This gives us the **marginal likelihood**.

It measures how much the data and the model "agree" with each other.

$$p(x|y, heta) = rac{p(y|x, heta)p(x| heta)}{p(y| heta)}$$

What is going on in the denominator?

 $p(y|\theta) = \int p(y|x,\theta)p(x|\theta) dx$ 

This gives us a way to tune the model parameters. We treat it as an optimisation problem: maximising  $p(y|\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ .

# Gaussian assumptions allow for efficient closed form calculations of the posterior process.

## **Posterior calculations**

#### Standard approach

Posterior process characterised as  $N(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{P})$  where

$$\mathbf{m} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t_*,t} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t,t} + \sigma_y^2 l)^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$
$$\mathbf{P} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t_*,t_*} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t_*,t} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t,t} + \sigma_y^2 l)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t,t_*}$$

 $t_* =$  training locations t = test locations

#### SDE approach

Kalman filtering and smoothing returns the posterior.

prediction step:

update step:

 $\mathbf{v}_k = y_k - \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{m}_k$  $\mathbf{S}_k = \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{H}_k^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma_y^2$ 

$$\mathbf{K}_k = \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{H}_k^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{S}_k^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{m}_k = \mathbf{m}_k + \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{v}_k$$

$$\mathbf{P}_k = \mathbf{P}_k - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{S}_k \mathbf{K}_k^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathsf{Prior}] \qquad x(t) \sim \mathrm{GP}(\mathbf{0}, \sum_{d=1}^{D} \kappa_{\mathrm{sm}}^{(d)}(t, t')), \\ & [\mathsf{Likelihood}] \qquad y_k = x(t_k) + \sigma_{y_k} \, \varepsilon_k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\mathsf{Prior}] & x(t) \sim \mathrm{GP}(\mathbf{0}, \sum_{d=1}^{D} \kappa_{\mathrm{sm}}^{(d)}(t, t')), \\ \\ [\mathsf{Likelihood}] & y_k = x(t_k) + \sigma_{\mathrm{y}_k} \, \varepsilon_k, \end{array}$$

$$\kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') = \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d)$$

[Prior] 
$$x(t) \sim GP(\mathbf{0}, \sum_{d=1}^{D} \kappa_{sm}^{(d)}(t, t')),$$
  
[Likelihood]  $y_k = x(t_k) + \sigma_{y_k} \varepsilon_k,$ 

 $\kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') = \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d)$  $d = 1, \dots, D$  frequency channels / filters

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\mathsf{Prior}] & x(t) \sim \mathrm{GP}(\mathbf{0}, \sum_{d=1}^{D} \kappa_{\mathrm{sm}}^{(d)}(t, t')), \\ \\ [\mathsf{Likelihood}] & y_k = x(t_k) + \sigma_{y_k} \, \varepsilon_k, \end{array}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') &= \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d) \\ d &= 1, \dots, D \text{ frequency channels / filters} \\ \omega_d &- \text{centre frequency} \end{aligned}$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\mathsf{Prior}] & x(t) \sim \mathrm{GP}(\mathbf{0}, \sum_{d=1}^{D} \kappa_{\mathrm{sm}}^{(d)}(t, t')), \\ \\ [\mathsf{Likelihood}] & y_k = x(t_k) + \sigma_{y_k} \, \varepsilon_k, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') &= \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d \, (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d) \\ d &= 1, \dots, D \text{ frequency channels / filters} \\ \omega_d \text{ - centre frequency} \\ \ell_d \text{ - controls the filter bandwidth} \end{split}$$

$$\kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') = \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d)$$

The SDE with this covariance is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{L}\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathrm{d}t},$$
  
$$\mathbf{y}(t_k) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}(t_k) + \sigma_y \varepsilon_k$$

$$\kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') = \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d)$$

The SDE with this covariance is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{L}\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathrm{d}t},$$

$$\mathbf{y}(t_k) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}(t_k) + \sigma_y \varepsilon_k$$

 $\mathsf{F}_{\mathrm{cos}}^{(d)} = \left( egin{smallmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \ \omega_d & 0 \end{smallmatrix} 
ight)$ , and  $\mathsf{F}_{\mathrm{exp}}^{(d)} = -1/\ell_d$ 

$$\kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') = \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d)$$

The SDE with this covariance is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{L} \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathrm{d}t},\\ y(t_k) &= \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}(t_k) + \sigma_y \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$
$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{cos}}^{(d)} &= \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \text{ and } \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{exp}}^{(d)} &= -1/\ell_d \end{aligned}$$
$$\mathbf{F}^{(d)} &= \frac{-1}{\ell_d} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$

$$\kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') = \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d)$$

The SDE with this covariance is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{L}\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mathrm{d}t}$$
$$y(t_k) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}(t_k) + \sigma_y \varepsilon_k$$
$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{cos}}^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{exp}}^{(d)} = -1/\ell_d$$
$$\mathbf{F}^{(d)} = \frac{-1}{\ell_d} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \ddots & \mathbf{r}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\kappa_{\rm sm}^{(d)}(t,t') = \sigma_d^2 \cos(\omega_d (t-t')) \exp(-|t-t'|/\ell_d)$$

The SDE with this covariance is:

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & F^{(D)} \end{pmatrix}$ 

What is the discrete form of  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)} = \frac{-1}{\ell_d} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ?

What is the discrete form of  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)} = \frac{-1}{\ell_d} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ?  $\mathbf{A}^{(d)} = \exp(\Delta_t \mathbf{F}^{(d)})$ 

What is the discrete form of  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)} = \frac{-1}{\ell_d} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ?

$$\mathbf{A}^{(d)} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-1}{\ell_d}} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \cos\omega_d & -\sin\omega_d \\ \sin\omega_d & \cos\omega_d \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

What is the discrete form of 
$$\mathbf{F}^{(d)} = \frac{-1}{\ell_d} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_d \\ \omega_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
?

$$\mathbf{A}^{(d)} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-1}{\ell_d}} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \cos\omega_d & -\sin\omega_d \\ \sin\omega_d & \cos\omega_d \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

This describes a rotation with frequency  $\omega_d$ , i.e. a phasor.



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-1}{\ell_d}} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \cos \omega_d & -\sin \omega_d \\ \sin \omega_d & \cos \omega_d \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mathbf{x}_k^{(d)} + \mathbf{q}_k^{(d)}, \\ y_k &= (1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0) \mathbf{x}_k + \sigma_{\mathrm{y}_k} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-1}{\ell_d}} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \cos\omega_d & -\sin\omega_d \\ \sin\omega_d & \cos\omega_d \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mathbf{x}_k^{(d)} + \mathbf{q}_k^{(d)} \\ y_k &= \left( 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0 \right) \mathbf{x}_k + \sigma_{\mathrm{y}_k} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

Consider 
$$\mathbf{x}_{k}^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(z_{k}^{(d)}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(z_{k}^{(d)}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-1}{\ell_d}} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \cos\omega_d & -\sin\omega_d \\ \sin\omega_d & \cos\omega_d \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mathbf{x}_k^{(d)} + \mathbf{q}_k^{(d)} \\ y_k &= (1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0) \mathbf{x}_k + \sigma_{y_k} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

Consider 
$$\mathbf{x}_k^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(z_k^{(d)}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(z_k^{(d)}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-1}{\ell_d}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_d} z_k^{(d)} + q_k^{(d)}, \\ y_k &= \sum_{d=1}^D \mathrm{Re}(z_k^{(d)}) + \sigma_{y_k} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-1}{\ell_d}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\omega_d & -\sin\omega_d \\ \sin\omega_d & \cos\omega_d \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k^{(d)} + \mathbf{q}_k^{(d)} \\ y_k &= (1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0) \mathbf{x}_k + \sigma_{y_k} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

Consider 
$$\mathbf{x}_k^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(z_k^{(d)}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(z_k^{(d)}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \psi_d \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_d} z_k^{(d)} + q_k^{(d)}, \\ y_k &= \sum_{d=1}^D \mathrm{Re}(z_k^{(d)}) + \sigma_{y_k} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

25

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \psi_d \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_d} z_k^{(d)} + q_k^{(d)}, \\ y_k &= \sum_{d=1}^D \mathrm{Re}(z_k^{(d)}) + \sigma_{\mathrm{y}_k} \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_{k+1}^{(d)} &= \psi_d \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_d} z_k^{(d)} + q_k^{(d)}, \\ y_k &= \sum_{d=1}^D \mathrm{Re}(z_k^{(d)}) + \sigma_{\mathrm{y}_k} \varepsilon_\mu \end{aligned}$$

This is called the **probabilistic phase vocoder**.

#### Demo
## **Missing Data Synthesis**



Data imputation using a filter bank composed of the following kernels: Matérn<sup>1</sup>/2 (exponential) - 1<sup>st</sup> order state space form Matérn<sup>3</sup>/2 - 2<sup>nd</sup> order state space form Matérn<sup>5</sup>/2 - 3<sup>rd</sup> order state space form Watch this space:

- We're going to make this model really fast i.e. real time processing.
- We're going to make it accessible.
- We're going to glue on a model for the amplitude (i.e. the spectrogram) which measures correlation across frequency channels.

Thanks for listening - any questions?

Paper is here:

https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.02489

Code is here:

https://github.com/wil-j-wil/unifying-prob-time-freq

## Appendix - kernel comparison



Sinusoidal bases / Kernel functions





Matérn<sup>1</sup>/2 (exponential) - 1<sup>st</sup> order SS, Matérn<sup>3</sup>/2 - 2<sup>nd</sup> order SS, Matérn<sup>5</sup>/2 - 3<sup>rd</sup> order SS